



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgmia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,365		09/25/2001	Kazumasa Ayukawa	P21475	5941
7055	7590	08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
		ERNSTEIN, P.L.C	CHARLES, MARCUS		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3682	
				DATE MAIL ED: 08/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Ashria ana Astian	09/961,365	AYUKAWA ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marcus Charles	3682
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	
	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR roid abandonment of this applica	ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	<b>,</b>	
<ul><li>(c)       they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6, as per final rejection main</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5 and 7-20</u> .	iled 05-11-2004.	
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b)□ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.  Other:	, _	
		Marcus Charles Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3682

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument is not pursuasive so as to overcome the rejection as set forth in the final rejection mailed 5/11/2004.